

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LUIS MOTOLA-PALACO,

Defendant.

**4:15CR3087**

**ORDER**

Defendant has moved to continue the pretrial motion deadline, (filing no. 32), because the defendant has new counsel who needs additional time review this case and confer with the defendant before deciding if pretrial motions should be filed. The motion to continue is unopposed. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, the court finds the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's motion to continue, (filing no. 32), is granted.
- 2) Pretrial motions and briefs shall be filed on or before April 8, 2016. No further extensions will be granted absent a **substantial** showing of good cause.
- 3) Trial of this case is continued pending resolution of any pretrial motions filed.
- 4) The ends of justice served by granting the motion to continue outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and
  - a. The additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, the time between today's date and April 8, 2016, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, because although counsel have been duly diligent, additional time is needed to adequately prepare this case for trial and failing to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).
  - b. Failing to timely file an objection to this order as provided in the local rules of this court will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

March 8, 2016.

BY THE COURT:  
s/ Cheryl R. Zwart  
United States Magistrate Judge